

## Essay

# On Falsehood Spread about God

Himangsu S. Pal\*

### Abstract

Some materialistic scientists have been propagating a falsehood about God. That falsehood is that the God-hypothesis does not predict anything that can be tested. But I demonstrated that the God-hypothesis can predict something that has been tested and verified.

**Keywords:** God, space, time, spaceless, timeless, source, falsehood, hypothesis.

For many years, some materialistic scientists have been propagating a falsehood about God. That falsehood is as follows: The God-hypothesis does not predict anything that can be tested or the God-hypothesis does not have any observational consequences.

But I showed previously that this is entirely false. I demonstrated that the God-hypothesis can predict something that has been tested and verified. I showed that if, at the very beginning of the universe, there existed a spaceless and timeless entity (God has always been described this way), then due to the presence of such a spaceless and timeless being, the values of space and time must necessarily be relative — Science has indeed shown that the values of space and time are relative.

Because God is spaceless and timeless, the values of space and time in relation to God are absolutely zero. But in all other cases, the values of space and time are non-zero. Therefore, the values of space and time must be relative. However, this cannot be considered definitive proof of God's existence, because God's consciousness cannot be proven in this way. Even if, instead of God, there existed a spaceless and timeless unconscious entity, the values of space and time would still be relative.

Scientists who are working on quantum gravity are now saying that space and time cannot be fundamental — they are emergent. If scientists ultimately conclude that space-time is emergent, it would mean that the source from which space-time emerged cannot itself be located within space-time, since space-time did not exist before its own emergence.

Further, since nothing can exist without space-time, the source from which space-time originated can be identified as the root of all existence — and that source must be spaceless and timeless. Although some scientists are not calling it "spaceless and timeless"; instead, they are calling it "non-spatiotemporal."

---

\* Correspondence: Himangsu S. Pal. E-Mail: [sekharpal1946@rediffmail.com](mailto:sekharpal1946@rediffmail.com)

If the materialistic scientists continue down the path they are currently on, they will eventually arrive not at a spaceless and timeless conscious being at the beginning of the universe, but rather at a non-spatiotemporal unconscious entity.

However, I showed as early as 2012 that such an unconscious entity must possess consciousness — otherwise, the spacelessness and timelessness of light cannot be explained in any way. So there's no need for atheists to worry about proving God's existence. They can simply go on spreading the same falsehood — that "God-hypothesis does not predict anything."

In 2010, physicist Lawrence M. Krauss wrote an article [1] in the Wall Street Journal in which he argued that since the total amount of energy in our current universe is zero, it follows that the universe originated from nothing. The gist of his argument was this: Let us assume that the universe actually arose from nothing. Here it is the so-called nothing of scientists, not the nothing of philosophers. Then the total energy of the universe must be zero, because everything here started from nothing. And, amazingly, scientists have also found that the total energy in the present universe is zero. So it is natural to say that the universe actually originated from nothing, because only then would its total energy be expected to be zero.

But by applying the same argument that Krauss uses for showing that the universe originated from nothing, it can be shown that the universe could not have originated from nothing. His argument was this: If the universe had originated from nothing, then the total energy of the universe would be zero. But if the total energy of the universe is zero because of the fact that the universe had originated from nothing, then the total amount of space-time of the universe will also be zero because of the same fact that the universe had originated from nothing. But have scientists been able to show that the total amount of space-time in the universe is zero? If they cannot show it, then they cannot claim that the universe had originated from nothing.

## Reference

1. <http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052748703946504575469653720549936>